

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,321	03/21/2001	Gary F. Feierbach	ALP1P203	4455
22434 75	90 08/26/2005		EXAM	INER
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250		CHEN, TSE W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2116	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the F	iling	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/814,321	FEIERBACH, GARY F.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tse Chen	2116		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Mar The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the
following time periods:

 a) ___ The period for reply expires ___ __months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f):

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on	 A brief in compliance with 37 Cl 	FR 41.37 must be filed withi	n two months of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41	1.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the appeal.
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed,	any reply must be filed within the	time period set forth in 37 C	FR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

7

VIAIT	ADMENTO
3. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
	(a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	appeal: and/or

·(d) 🗌 They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

	11012: <u>200 Commadion enest:</u> (200 Cr Cr 11 11 C and 11:00(a)).
4. [The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. 🔲 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:	·
Claim(s) objected to: _	
Claim(s) rejected:	

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

3. [The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entere	ed
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary	/
	and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	

). [The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 🛭	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NC	T place the application in condition for allowance because
	See Continuation Sheet.	·

12.	No	ote 1	the	atta	ach∉	ed	Info	orma	ation	ı Di:	scl	osui	re S	Sta	tem	ner	ıt(s	١).	(P)	TC)/S	SB/	'08	or	P	ГΟ	-14	149) P	ape	er N	۷o(S)

3	\Box	Ot	her		
		\ 31	1121		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's new limitation to claim 17 requires further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed August 3, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges that "Fletcher and Bartley alone or in any combination, do not teach or suggest all the features of claim 1". Examiner disagrees and submits that Fletcher and Bartley combined disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed in the rejection of the outstanding Office Action. Applicant alleges that Fletcher "teach only NAND gates and a 'propagation circuit' without any reference or suggestion of a memory circuit". Examiner disagrees and submits that latches and flip-flops [of propagation circuit 340] are considered memories by those with ordinary skill in the art..